

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,216	04/17/2006	Alessandro Coppola	6097P069	4566
26529 7590 05/17/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN/PDC 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			WIEHE, NATHANIEL EDWARD	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3745	
			Т	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	10/539,216	COPPOLA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan Wiehe	3745
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ricid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2005 is/are:		·
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s)	, <b>.</b>	O (DTO 445)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11132006.</li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 13 November 2006 is noted. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

Specifically, the statement "material to examination" is insufficient.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 6, lines 6 and 9 refer to the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gaudenzi (2,431,660). Gaudenzi discloses high performance component for a gas turbine and the method of obtaining the competent by powder metallurgy with a dispersion of powders. The powders are dispersed in a predefined manner resulting in a suitable concentrations is designated zones and providing a maximum refractoriness and resistance with respect of the hot gases in a designated zone (b). The powders include metal (a) and non-metal (b) powders and are perfectly fixed together by microfusion (Gaudenzi column 1, lines 42-45).

In regard to claim 6, the limitation of "by means of ... powder sintering or poser metallurgy..." is considered a product by process limitation. As set forth in MPEP 2114, product by process claims are NOT limited to the manipulation of the recited steps, only to the structure implied by the steps. Once a product appearing to be substantially the same or similar is found, a 25 U.S.C. § 102/103 rejection may be made and the burden is shifted to applicant to show an unobvious difference. See MPEP 2113. However, in

Art Unit: 3745

the instant case Gaudenzi does disclose the method of obtaining the component as claimed.

## **Prior Art**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent issued to Turner discloses a turbine blade made by a powder metallurgical process displaying varying properties in different portions of the blade.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Wiehe whose telephone number is (571)272-8648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. and alternate Fri., 7am-4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571)272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,216

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nathan Wiehe

Page 5

Examiner Art Unit 3745

EDWARD K. LOOK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

5/14/07